



"Lord, my God, could you make yourself an accomplice of an iniquitous Tribunal, practicing injustice under the shelter of the law?"

— (The Holy Bible, Ps. 93:20)

Common Sense®

Trade-mark registered 1948 United States Patent Office

!
"The truth,
the whole truth,
and nothing
but the truth
... without fear
or favor"

Conde McGinley
1960 • FOUNDER • 1963

Issue No. 597, January 15, 1972

Second Class Postage Paid at Union, N.J.

Subscription \$3.00 per year

COMMUNE SLAVE PROGRAM FOR CHILDREN

CHILD DEVELOPMENT ACT

'ADVOCATES' WITH POLICE POWERS

(The following are excerpts from a very exceptional article by Mary M. Davison, Editor of Council for Statehood, P.O. Box 5435, Lighthouse Point, Florida, 33064. A token donation to Council for Statehood will bring you a packet of dynamic information on the United Nations and other subjects we cannot cover in *Common Sense*.)

By MARY M. DAVISON

The United Nations plan for world government has been advancing very rapidly in the past few months. The Rights of Women, propagandized by the United Nations for twenty years, has been recognized by the Congress and these so-called rights have been established by law.

Taxed to Enslave Your Children

The next thing on the agenda is Rights of Children, and among those rights which a child may assert against his parents is the right to be raised in a commune. The most fantastic program ever offered to Congress was adopted within the O.E.O. appropriation, and calls for two billion dollars a year, including \$700,000.00 to get the **CHILD DEVELOPMENT** program moving.

Will Your Child Be Whse. Merchandise?

The thing is so fantastic that Dr. Edward Ziegler, head of the office of Child Development of H.E.W., spoke out against this program, of which he would be in charge, saying: "I am very apprehensive that this nation may set up a network of warehouses for children." As reported in the Congressional Record for Sept. 9, 1971 (S-14011), Dr. Ziegler went on to say that instead of supplementing the family, he fears that the day care center might supplant the family and thus revolutionize family attitudes. He stated: "People don't recognize the monumental nature of this legislation and what effect it could have on the country in twenty years."

Home — No Longer Man's Castle

The program calls for the establishment of so-called day care centers in every section of every city and town in the country, and an invading army of American-style OGPU, who will be authorized, and in fact required, to go into every home in their respective districts to instruct the parents on food to be provided for the children, discipline or lack of it, the right of the child to have a voice in the management of the home, to lay down rules for weekend and overnight visits for the children away from home, etc., with a clear view to breaking up the family.

"Nuclear Family"

Among the recommendations of the White



"Give us a child for eight years, and it will be a Bolshevik forever." — NIKOLAI LENIN

House Conference on Children for the "nuclear family" are:

a—Commune family, monogamous — Household of more than one monogamous couple with children sharing common facilities, resources and experiences: Socialization of the children is a group activity.

b—Commune family, group marriage — Household of adults and offspring known as one family where all individuals are married to each other and all are parents to the children. Usually developing a status system with leaders believed to have charisma.

c—Unmarried-parent-and-child family — Usually mother and child where marriage is not desired or possible.

d—Unmarried-couple-and-child family — Usually a common-law type of marriage with the child their biological issue or informally adopted.

e—Homosexual-couple-and-child family— The child is informally or legally adopted."

What Is Child Advocate?

In a forum chaired by Judge Delaney of the Colorado Juvenile and Family Court, these data were provided regarding the "child advocate." First, the child advocate

(Continued on Page 4, Column 1)

Senate Bill S-2007, containing the controversial Child Development Act, passed both Houses of Congress but failed to become law because of the President's veto on Dec. 9th. Despite this, the advocates of this measure have vowed to continue to push for its adoption. The articles in this issue have been presented so that our readers may be completely informed as to the background of this diabolical legislation and become fully aware of its implications.

'WE ARE VOTING ON A GENERATION'

By CONG. JOHN G. SCHMITZ

Statement by Congressman Schmitz to the House of Representatives urging defeat of the Conference Report on S.2007, the bill including the Comprehensive Child Development Act:

Mr. Speaker, the "comprehensive child development" portion of the bill brought to us from conference committee today furnishes a striking example of how all too often we make law in this body without consulting or adequately informing the solid, hard-working, productive citizens whom most of us claim to represent and who, far more than anyone else, pay the taxes that keep our whole topheavy Washington wonderland going. We could hardly get much closer to the most intimate, personal, daily lives of these constituents of ours than by setting up a massive Federal program to take charge of "developing" their children who are still too young to go to school. Here is legislation potentially affecting every family in the country which has, or expects or hopes to have, a child in it under six years of age. Yet outside the professional pressure groups and the gaggle of "women's lib" and "social reform" activists who have pushed it so hard and so fast, you will find very few Americans aware of this bill at all — and of those who have become aware of it since we began so precipitously to act on it, many are fundamentally and vehemently opposed.

We passed the Comprehensive Child Development Act September 30 by the extraordinary device of adding it as an amendment to the Economic Opportunity Act extension, despite the fact that its advocates have been the first to point out that it is NOT to be regarded as a welfare or a relief measure, but as establishing a program for services available to the entire population. Since September 30, I have received numerous inquiries about this Act from my District and from all over the country. Most of you have probably received them too. The most frequent request has been simply for a copy of the bill. Surely this should be the very least our constituents have the right to expect from us — that we be able to supply them with a copy of legislation telling how we intend to develop their children for them.

Mr. Speaker, we could not supply the copies they asked. Because of the manner in which the bill was passed, there were **NO COPIES**. There were only pages of the finest print used in the Congressional Record — difficult to read, almost impossible to copy

(Continued on Page 4, Column 2)